

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 81083198/202-1080 09/12/2003 Lim Wijaya 10/605,182 EXAMINER 03/09/2004 28395 BOLES, DEREK

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL 1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. ^ ^
(Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
	10/605,182	WIJAYA ET AL.	r
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek S. Boles	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply wilthin the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may I. I reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) M adute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 September 2003.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-25 is/are without 5) Claim(s) 11-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and. 	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or by the drawing(s) be held in abey prection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	21(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/03.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/605,182

Art Unit: 3749

→ 3

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18, are drawn to a ventilation intake for a motor vehicle, classified in class 454, subclass 143.
- II. Claim 19, is drawn to a vehicle window intake, classified in class 296, subclass102.
- III. Claims 20-24, are drawn to a battery compartment intake, classified in class 296, subclass 126.
- IV. Claim 25, is drawn to a vehicle window, classified in class 296, subclass 53.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombinations are not required to ventilate the vehicle interior. The subcombination has separate utility such as an engine ventilator. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Marc Malooley on 3/1/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-18. Affirmation of this election

Application/Control Number: 10/605,182 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19-25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graebner (2,127,971). See fig. 3 and pg. 2, col. 1 line 57- col. 2 line 25. Regarding claims 3 and 4, see pg. 2 col. 1, lines 2-11 and 18. Regarding claims 7 and 8, see 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,182

Art Unit: 3749

Page 4

Claim(s) 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graebner in view of Inagaki (4,864,920). Graebner discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for a plurality of vanes and a fluid flow director. Inagaki discloses the presence of a plurality of vanes and a fluid flow director. See col. 5, lines 6-23, fig. 17, 7 and 24. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Graebner to include the plurality of vanes and a fluid flow director of Inagaki for the purpose of increased passenger comfort.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-18 are allowed. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (703) 308-1804 or fax number (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art Unit 3749 is Ira Lazarus who can be reached at (703) 308-1935.

D.S.B.

DEREK S. BOLES PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3700

3/8/04